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## Classical boundary value problems

$L^{p}$ Dirichlet and $L^{p}$ Regularity problems:

$$
(D)_{p}\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ u \in \mathcal { C } ^ { 2 } ( \Omega ) } \\
{ L u = 0 \text { in } \Omega } \\
{ u | _ { \partial \Omega } = f \in L ^ { p } ( \partial \Omega ) } \\
{ u ^ { * } \in L ^ { p } ( \partial \Omega ) }
\end{array} \quad ( R ) _ { p } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
u \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega) \\
L u=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=f \in L_{1}^{p}(\partial \Omega) . \\
(\nabla u)^{*} \in L^{p}(\partial \Omega)
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$
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- $\nu$ denotes the outward unit normal vector
- All boundary values in $(D),(R),(N)$ are understood as non-tangential limits.
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u \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega) \\
L u=0 \text { in } \Omega \\
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\end{array}\right.
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Boundary values in (MP) are understood as non-tangential limits.

## The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Laplacian

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be a bounded Lipschitz domain with boundary $\partial \Omega=D \cup N, D \cap N=\emptyset$.
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Let $\Lambda=\partial D$ and let $\delta(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \Lambda)$.
Corkscrew condition: There exists $M>0$ such that for all $x \in \Lambda, 0<r<r_{0}$, there exists $\tilde{x} \in D$ such that $|x-\tilde{x}|<r$ and $\delta(\tilde{x})>M^{-1} r$.
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## The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Laplacian

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be a bounded Lipschitz domain with boundary $\partial \Omega=D \cup N, D \cap N=\emptyset$.

Theorem 1: $L^{1}$ result Brown, Ott \& Taylor
Let $D$ satisfy the corkscrew condition. If $f_{N} \in H^{1}(N)$ and $f_{D} \in H^{1,1}(D)$, the $L^{1}$-mixed problem has a solution that satisfies

$$
\left\|(\nabla u)^{*}\right\|_{L^{1}(\partial \Omega)} \leq C\left(\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{H^{1}(N)}+\left\|f_{D}\right\|_{H^{1,1}(D)}\right)
$$

Furthermore, the solution is unique in the class of functions with $(\nabla u)^{*} \in L^{1}(\partial \Omega)$.

## The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Laplacian

Theorem 2: $L^{p}$ result Brown, Ott \& Taylor
Let $D$ satisfy the corkscrew condition. There exists an exponent $q_{0}>2$, depending on $M$ and $n$, so that the following hold.

For $p$ in the interval $\left(1, q_{0} / 2\right)$, we have:
If $f_{N} \in L^{p}(N)$ and $f_{D} \in L_{1}^{p}(D)$ there exists a solution to the $L^{p}$-mixed problem. The solution $u$ satisfies

$$
\left\|(\nabla u)^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}(\partial \Omega)} \leq C\left(\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(N)}+\left\|f_{D}\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}(D)}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, the solution is unique in the class of functions satisfying $(\nabla u)^{*} \in L^{p}(\partial \Omega)$.

## The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Lamé system of elastostatics

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $\partial \Omega=D \cup N$, $D \cap N=\emptyset$.

$$
(M P)_{p}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{L} \vec{u}=\overrightarrow{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
\left.\vec{u}\right|_{D}=\vec{f}_{D} \in L_{1}^{p}(D) \\
\left.\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial \rho^{s}}\right|_{N}=\vec{f}_{N} \in L^{p}(N) \\
(\nabla u)^{*} \in L^{p}(\partial \Omega) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The action of $\mathcal{L}$ on $\vec{u}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{L} \vec{u}:=\mu \Delta \vec{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} \vec{u},
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are called the Lamé moduli and satisfy

$$
\mu>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda+\mu>0 .
$$

## The Lamé system of elastostatics

For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the tensor coefficient associated with $\mathcal{L}$ is understood to be the collection

$$
A(s):=\left(a_{\alpha \beta}^{i j}(s)\right)_{i, j, \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}}
$$

where

$$
a_{\alpha \beta}^{i j}(s):=\mu \delta_{i j} \delta_{\alpha \beta}+(\lambda+\mu-s) \delta_{i \alpha} \delta_{j \beta}+s \delta_{i \ell} \delta_{j k}
$$

$$
\text { for all } i, j, \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\} \text {. }
$$

## The Lamé system of elastostatics

For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the tensor coefficient associated with $\mathcal{L}$ is understood to be the collection

$$
A(s):=\left(a_{\alpha \beta}^{i j}(s)\right)_{i, j, \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{\alpha \beta}^{i j}(s):=\mu \delta_{i j} \delta_{\alpha \beta}+(\lambda+\mu-s) \delta_{i \alpha} \delta_{j \beta}+s \delta_{i \ell} \delta_{j k}, \\
\quad \text { for all } i, j, \alpha, \beta \in\{1,2\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Neumann-type boundary conditions:

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial \rho^{s}}\right)^{\alpha}=\nu_{i} a_{\alpha \beta}^{i j}(s) \frac{\partial u^{\beta}}{\partial x_{j}} .
$$

Above, $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)$ denotes the outward unit normal vector defined a.e. on $\partial \Omega$.

## The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Lamé system

Assume that $D \subset \partial \Omega$ satisfies the corkscrew condition.

## Theorem 3: The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for Lamé

 Brown and Ott1. There exists $p_{0}>1$ such that for $1<p<p_{0}$, the $L^{p}$-mixed problem is well-posed in the following sense: if $f_{N} \in L^{p}(N)$, $f_{D} \in L_{1}^{p}(\partial \Omega)$, the $L^{p}$-mixed problem has a unique solution $u$ satisfying

$$
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$$

The boundary values of $u$ exist as nontangential limits.

## The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Lamé system

## Theorem 3: The $L^{p}$ mixed problem for Lamé

## Brown and Ott

2. There exists $p_{1}<1$ such that if $p_{1}<p \leq 1$, the $L^{p}$-mixed problem is well-posed in the following sense: if $f_{N} \in H^{p}(N)$, $f_{D} \in H^{1, p}(\partial \Omega)$, the $L^{p}$-mixed problem has a unique solution $u$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{H^{1, p}(\partial \Omega)}+\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho}\right\|_{H^{p}(\partial \Omega)} & +\left\|(\nabla u)^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}(\partial \Omega)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{H^{p}(N)}+\left\|f_{D^{2}}\right\|_{H^{1, p}(\partial \Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Next steps

1. Well-posedness of mixed problem for the Stokes system of hydrostatics:

$$
(M P)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u+\nabla p=f \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
-\operatorname{div} u=g \text { in } \Omega \\
u=f_{D} \text { on } D \\
2 \nu \epsilon(u)-p \nu=f_{N} \text { on } N .
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- $\epsilon(u)$ denotes the symmetric part of the gradient of $u$,

$$
\epsilon_{i}^{\alpha}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u^{\alpha}}{x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u^{i}}{x_{\alpha}}\right) .
$$
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2. Sharp well-posedness of $(M P)_{p}$ for the Laplacian.

A first step in this direction is to consider the $L^{p}$ mixed problem for the Laplacian when the domain $\Omega$ is an infinite sector in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with a Dirichlet boundary condition imposed on one ray of the sector and a Neumann boundary condition is imposed on the other ray.
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- Seek a solution to $(M P)_{p}, p \in(1, \infty)$, expressed as a harmonic single layer potential operator with $L^{p}$ density.


## Well-posedness of $(M P)_{p}$ in a sector

- Seek a solution to $(M P)_{p}, p \in(1, \infty)$, expressed as a harmonic single layer potential operator with $L^{p}$ density.
- This leads to the issue of inverting the operator

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\partial_{\tau} S & \partial_{\tau} S \\
K^{*} & -\frac{1}{2} I+K^{*}
\end{array}\right): L^{p}(D) \oplus L^{p}(N) \rightarrow L^{p}(D) \oplus L^{p}(N) .
$$

- $S$ is the boundary-to-boundary harmonic single layer potential operator,
- $\partial_{\tau}$ denotes differentiation in the tangential direction,
- $K^{*}$ is the formal adjoint of the boundary-to-boundary harmonic double layer potential operator,
- $I$ is the identity operator.


## Well-posedness of $(M P)_{p}$ in a sector

Theorem 4. Awala, I. Mitrea \& Ott (2016)
Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the interior of an infinite angle of aperture $\theta \in(0,2 \pi)$. Denote by $D:=(\partial \Omega)_{1}$ and $N:=(\partial \Omega)_{2}$ the left and right rays, respectively, of $\Omega$. Then $(M P)_{p}$ for the Laplacian is well-posed whenever

$$
p \neq \begin{cases}\frac{2 \pi-\theta}{\pi-\theta} & \text { if } \theta \in(0, \pi / 2], \\ \frac{2 \pi-\theta}{\pi-\theta}, \frac{2 \theta}{2 \theta-\pi} & \text { if } \theta \in(\pi / 2, \pi) \\ 2 & \text { if } \theta=\pi \\ \frac{2 \theta}{2 \theta-\pi}, \frac{\theta}{\theta-\pi} & \text { if } \theta \in(\pi, 3 \pi / 2] \\ \frac{2 \theta}{2 \theta-\pi}, \frac{2 \theta}{2 \theta-3 \pi}, \frac{\theta}{\theta-\pi} & \text { if } \theta \in(3 \pi / 2,2 \pi) .\end{cases}
$$

## The mixed problem in creased domains

- Brown and Sykes $(1994,2001)$ establish the well-posedness of $(M P)_{p}$ for the Laplacian for $p \in(1,2]$ in the class of creased domains.
- A creased domain, roughly speaking, is one where $D$ and $N$ meet at an angle strictly less than $\pi$.
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## The mixed problem in creased domains

- Brown and Sykes $(1994,2001)$ establish the well-posedness of $(M P)_{p}$ for the Laplacian for $p \in(1,2]$ in the class of creased domains.
- A creased domain, roughly speaking, is one where $D$ and $N$ meet at an angle strictly less than $\pi$.
- Via perturbation, the result is that for each bounded creased Lipschitz domain, $(M P)_{p}$ is well-posed for $p \in\left(1,2+\varepsilon_{\Omega}\right)$.
- In the setting where the sector is a creased domain, i.e. $\theta \in(0, \pi)$, Theorem 4 is in line with the earlier theory, and it makes explicit the dependence of $\varepsilon_{\Omega}$ on $\Omega$ (via the aperture $\theta$ ).
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- When $\theta \in[\pi, 2 \pi)$, we continue to have well-posedness results that go beyond the class of creased domains considered by Brown and Sykes.
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- For this range of $\theta$ 's, let
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- When $\theta \in[\pi, 2 \pi)$, we continue to have well-posedness results that go beyond the class of creased domains considered by Brown and Sykes.
- For this range of $\theta$ 's, let

$$
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$$

- Then $\frac{4}{3}<p_{\text {critic }}(\theta)<2$, so the range of indices for which $(M P)_{p}$ is well-posed is more restrictive than (1,2]. This portion of the work should be compared with results of Brown, Capogna, and Lanzani who showed that in this scenario, $(M P)_{p}$ is solvable for some $p>1$.


## Thank you!

